

To construct regular $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ -extensions, we use an alternative characterisation of \mathbb{Q}_8 -fields due to Buchst:

Thm (Buchst 1910) A biquadratic extension $K(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v})$ of K is embeddable in a \mathbb{Q}_8 -extension of $K \iff \exists \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2)(1 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2) \cdot \text{square in } K \\ v &= (1 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2)(1 + \gamma^2 + \gamma^2 \alpha^2) \cdot \text{square in } K \\ \implies uv &= (1 + \gamma^2 + \gamma^2 \alpha^2)(1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^2) \cdot \text{square in } K \end{aligned}$$

This is symmetric in $\begin{matrix} \alpha \rightarrow \beta \\ \beta \rightarrow \gamma \\ \gamma \rightarrow \alpha \end{matrix}$, in other words this construction respects the action of $C_3 \subseteq \text{Aut } \mathbb{Q}_8$.

So we take $K =$ any C_3 -extension of \mathbb{Q} ; $g :=$ generator of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = C_3$
 $\alpha =$ general enough element of K (*)
 $\beta = g(\alpha)$, $\gamma = g(\beta)$

Define u, v, w as above, and (unravelling Witt's Theorem)

$$F := K(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}, \sqrt{1 + (1 - \alpha\beta\gamma)(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{w})})$$

is a quaternion extension of K which has Galois group $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ over k .

Taking $k = \mathbb{Q}(t)$ we get a regular family. In fact, Fabbeyer showed that (*) is always possible, and deduced

Thm (Fabbeyer 1945) If k is Hilbertian, every C_3 -extension of k can be embedded in a $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ -extension of k .

Obvious question: Does this generalise to other groups $N \rtimes \mathbb{Q}$ when N is non-abelian? This would be a big step towards getting all soluble groups over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.

Conjecture (Dèbes-Deschamps) Every split embedding problem (lifting a \mathbb{Q} -extension to a $N \rtimes \mathbb{Q}$ -extension for any N) is soluble over every Hilbertian field k .

Stronger than Inverse Galois Problem

§11 Descent to subgroups.

Question $K/\mathbb{Q}(a,b,\dots)$ family with Galois group U .

$G < U$ subgroup

Is there a subfamily with Galois group G ?

Not always; if yes, would solve IGP, as any $G < S_n$.

Ex $G = C_3, U = S_3$

• S_3 -family $x^3 + x + a, \Delta = -27a^2 - 4$

← want to find a rat. fnc. $a(t)$ such that $-27a(t)^2 - 4 = \text{square}$. Then $x^3 + x + a(t)$ has $\text{Gal} \subseteq C_3$

$b^2 = -27a^2 - 4$ genus 0 curve, no \mathbb{Q} -pts

• S_3 -family $x^3 + ax + 1, \Delta = -4a^3 - 27b^2$

$b^2 = -4a^3 - 27$ elliptic curve E , may have \mathbb{Q} -pts, but no rational maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow E$ (so could solve $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{C_3}/\mathbb{Q}$ but not $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{C_3}/\mathbb{Q}(t)$ with it)

• S_3 -family $x^3 + ax + b$ over $\mathbb{Q}(a,b), \Delta = -4a^3 - 27b^2$

$S: C^2 = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ cubic surface, rational $\mathbb{P}_{A,B}^2 \rightarrow S$

$a = -\frac{1}{4}(27A^2 + B^2)$
 $b = -\frac{9}{4}(27A^2 + B^2)$
 $c = -\frac{3}{4}(27A^2 + B^2)$, generic.

$\Rightarrow C_3$ -family / $\mathbb{Q}(a,b): x^3 - (27a^2 + b^2)x - 2a(27a^2 + b^2)$

Smallest groups for which $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{G/\mathbb{Q}(t)}$ is unknown:

Ex There are 10 groups of order 64 that are not semi-abelian; Small Group(64, i)

$i = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14$

nilpotency class 3; these 7 have been proved to have regular families / $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ Schreiers

$i = 41, 42, 43$
 G_1, G_2, G_3

nilpotency class 4; unknown / $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.

$G_1 = 16 \pm 156 < 16 \pm 256 < 16 \pm 498 < 16 \pm 909 < 16 \pm 1181 = SD_{16}^2 \times C_2^2$
 semi-abelian; easy to construct families using resultants

$G_2 = 16 \pm 144 < 16 \pm 379 < 16 \pm 679 < 16 \pm 972 < 16 \pm 1193 = D_8^2 \times C_2^2$

Descent works for G_1 and gives a regular family / $\mathbb{Q}(t)$

Presumably for G_2 as well? G_3 only acts on 32 points - computationally harder.

This method is very powerful in practice - subfields are often given by eqns that define a genus 0 curve, or a rational surface, or an elliptic surface with a section, or a higher-dim. variety with rational curves, but seems very hard to understand it theoretically and predict when it works. (16)

§12 Rigidity

Thm (Riemann Existence Thm.) G finite group generated by g_1, \dots, g_ℓ ; $g_1 g_2 \dots g_\ell = 1$.

$S = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists a Galois G -cover

$$X \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \quad [G \subseteq \text{Aut } X, X/G = \mathbb{P}^1]$$

unramified outside S and ramification $g_i \in \langle g_i \rangle < G$ over P_i .

Cor $\mathbb{Z}/G(\mathbb{C}(t))$ is true for every group G .

Proof Pick any generators $g_1, \dots, g_{\ell-1}$ of G , let $g_\ell := (g_1 \dots g_{\ell-1})^{-1}$ so that $g_1 \dots g_\ell = 1$.

Pick $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ arbitrary. Riemann existence \Rightarrow

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \mathbb{C}(X) \\ \downarrow \varphi & \rightsquigarrow & G \mid \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) & & \mathbb{C}(t) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{automatically regular} \\ \text{as } \mathbb{C} = \overline{\mathbb{C}}. \end{array}$$

The problem is descending from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{Q} . A general principle in Galois theory is that "things that are unique are defined over \mathbb{Q} ".

Generally, the covers given by Thm are not unique, but one can impose conditions on the g_i to force $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1$ to be unique and defined over \mathbb{Q} :

G finite group

C_1, \dots, C_ℓ conjugacy classes

$$\Sigma := \{(g_1, \dots, g_\ell) \mid g_i \in C_i, g_1 g_2 \dots g_\ell = 1, \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell \rangle = G\}$$

← possibly \emptyset

$$g \text{ has a fixed pt on } \Sigma \Leftrightarrow g \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_\ell) = (g_1, \dots, g_\ell)$$

↪ G acts by conjugation

$$\Leftrightarrow g \text{ commutes with the } g_i \stackrel{g_i \text{ generate } G}{\Leftrightarrow} g \in Z(G).$$

Suppose $Z(G) = \{1\}$. Then the action $G \curvearrowright \Sigma$ is free.

Def (C_1, \dots, C_ℓ) is a rigid ℓ -tuple of conjugacy classes if $|\Sigma| = |G|$, equivalently the action of G on Σ is transitive (one orbit).

Def A conjugacy class $C \subseteq G$ is rational if

$$g \in C \Rightarrow g^k \in C \text{ for all } k \text{ coprime to order of } g.$$

Ex $G = S_n$ conj. classes $\xrightarrow{1:1}$ cycle types

Cycle type is unchanged under $g \mapsto g^k$ [e.g. transposition^k = transposition for $(k, 2)=1$]

\Rightarrow Every conjugacy class in S_n is rational.

Ex $G = C_3 = \{1, g, g^2\}$

$\{1\}$ rational conjugacy class

$\{g\}$ $\xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2}$ $\{g^2\}$ not rational
 $\xleftarrow{x \mapsto x^2}$

$G=C_3$	1	g	g^2
1	1	1	1
χ	1	ζ_3	ζ_3^2
χ^2	1	ζ_3^2	ζ_3

↑
 rational conjugacy class
 $\xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2}$
 $\xleftarrow{x \mapsto x^2}$

Rmk C is rational $\Leftrightarrow \chi(C) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for every irr. character χ of G

Generally, $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the conjugacy classes through its action on the columns of the character table.

Thm (Basic Rigidity Theorem - Belyi, Fried, Matzat, Shih, Thompson).

Let (C_1, \dots, C_ℓ) be a rigid ℓ -tuple of rational conjugacy classes, and $P_1, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$

Then there exists a unique regular G -covering $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, that is unramified outside $\{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ and has inertia at P_i gen. by elt. of C_i .

Thm (Variant; Serre) If (C_1, \dots, C_ℓ) is a rigid ℓ -tuple, stable under $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$

and $(P_1, \dots, P_\ell) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is anti-isomorphic as a $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -set to

(C_1, \dots, C_ℓ) then there is a regular G -covering $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

that is unramified outside $\{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ and has inertia at P_i gen. by elt. of C_i .

Ex $G = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7) \cong \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ second non-abelian simple group; order 168

class elt. order size	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6
	1	2	3	4	7	7
	1	21	56	42	24	24
P_1	1	1	1	1	1	1
P_2	3	-1	0	1	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}$	$\frac{-1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}$
P_3	3	-1	0	1	$\frac{-1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}$
P_4	6	2	0	0	-1	-1
P_5	7	-1	1	-1	0	0
P_6	8	0	-1	0	1	1

Lemma In any group G and conj. classes C_1, \dots, C_ℓ

$$\# \{ (g_1, \dots, g_\ell) \mid g_i \in C_i, g_1 g_2 \dots g_\ell = 1 \} = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot |C_1| \dots |C_\ell| \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr } G} \frac{\chi(C_1) \dots \chi(C_\ell)}{\chi(1)^{\ell-2}}$$

Applying this to (C_3, C_5, C_6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \# \{ (g_3, g_5, g_6) \mid g_3 \in C_3, g_5 \in C_5, g_6 \in C_6, g_3 g_5 g_6 = 1 \} &= \\ &= \frac{1}{168} \cdot 56 \cdot 24 \cdot 24 \cdot \left(\frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{1} + \frac{-1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{8} \right) = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 7 \cdot 8} \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 \cdot \frac{7}{8} = 168. \end{aligned}$$

Every such (g_3, g_5, g_6) generates G , (← find one example by hand, then we know there are ≥ 168 of them)

so (C_3, C_5, C_6) is a $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -stable rigid triple.

By the Rigidity Thm., $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ is a Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.

Rmk Shih proved (with a different method involving modular curves), that $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a Galois group over \mathbb{Q} when $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$, $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$, or $\left(\frac{7}{p}\right) = -1$.
 ↑ applies to $p=7$.

There are many variants of the rigidity method, and it was used to realise all sporadic simple groups but M_{23} over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and other simple groups.